

MAY - 9 2018

B1040 (FORM 1040) (12/15)

ADVERSARY PROCEEDING COVER SHEI (Instructions on Reverse)	MARK L. HATCHER, CLERK  ADVERSARY THE PARKING TO (Court Use Only)			
PLAINTIFFS  VALENTING CHEREPANNA  CHEREPAN DVA- BEFTER LEDOWLE  ATTORNEYS (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone No.)	DEFENDANTS KUD CHING, YEE, QUALITY LOAN SERVICE CINE, SPJ JASOM			
ATTORNEYS (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone No.)	SEE COMPLAING			
PARTY (Check One Box Only)  Debtor U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin  Creditor Other  Trustee	PARTY (Check One Box Only)  Debtor U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin  Creditor Other  Trustee			
CAUSE OF ACTION (WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE OF ACTION, INCLUDING ALL U.S. STATUTES INVOLVED)				
NATURE OF SUIT  (Number up to five (5) boxes starting with lead cause of action as 1, first alternative cause as 2, second alternative cause as 3, etc.)				
FRBP 7001(1) – Recovery of Money/Property  11-Recovery of money/property - §542 turnover of property  12-Recovery of money/property - §547 preference  13-Recovery of money/property - §548 fraudulent transfer  14-Recovery of money/property - other  FRBP 7001(2) – Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien  21-Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property  FRBP 7001(3) – Approval of Sale of Property  31-Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - §363(h)	FRBP 7001(6) — Dischargeability (continued)  61-Dischargeability - §523(a)(5), domestic support  68-Dischargeability - §523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury  63-Dischargeability - §523(a)(8), student loan  64-Dischargeability - §523(a)(15), divorce or separation obligation (other than domestic support)  65-Dischargeability - other  FRBP 7001(7) — Injunctive Relief  71-Injunctive relief — imposition of stay  72-Injunctive relief — other			
FRBP 7001(4) - Objection/Revocation of Discharge  41-Objection / revocation of discharge - §727(c),(d),(e)  FRBP 7001(5) - Revocation of Confirmation	FRBP 7001(8) Subordination of Claim or Interest  81-Subordination of claim or interest  FRBP 7001(9) Declaratory Judgment			
FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability  66-Dischargeability - §523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims  62-Dischargeability - §523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud  67-Dischargeability - §523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny  (continued next column)	91-Declaratory judgment  FRBP 7001(10) Determination of Removed Action  01-Determination of removed claim or cause  Other  SS-SIPA Case – 15 U.S.C. §§78aaa et.seq.  02-Other (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state court if unrelated to bankruptcy case)			
☐ Check if this case involves a substantive issue of state law  Check if a jury trial is demanded in complaint	☐ Check if this is asserted to be a class action under FRCP 23  Demand \$ 7.00 000			
Other Relief Sought				

B1040 (FORM 1040) (12/15)	Ploase	15840 a	Simmons
BANKRUPTC	Y CASE IN WHICH THI	S ADVERSARY PROCE	EEDING ARISES
NAME OF DEBTOR		BANKRUPTCY CASE NO.	
DISTRICT IN WHICH CASE IS PE WKJ TKAN DISTR	NDING COA	DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE
	RELATED ADVERSARY	Y PROCEEDING (IF AN	<b>Y</b> )
PLAINTIFF (1 do /)	DEFENDA	NT	ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NO.

**DIVISION OFFICE** 

DISTRICT IN WHICH ADVERSARY IS PENDING SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF)

DATE

05-06-1

PRINT NAME OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF)

NAME OF JUDGE

#### **INSTRUCTIONS**

The filing of a bankruptcy case creates an "estate" under the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court which consists of all of the property of the debtor, wherever that property is located. Because the bankruptcy estate is so extensive and the jurisdiction of the court so broad, there may be lawsuits over the property or property rights of the estate. There also may be lawsuits concerning the debtor's discharge. If such a lawsuit is filed in a bankruptcy court, it is called an adversary proceeding.

A party filing an adversary proceeding must also must complete and file Form 1040, the Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet, unless the party files the adversary proceeding electronically through the court's Case Management/Electronic Case Filing system (CM/ECF). (CM/ECF captures the information on Form 1040 as part of the filing process.) When completed, the cover sheet summarizes basic information on the adversary proceeding. The clerk of court needs the information to process the adversary proceeding and prepare required statistical reports on court activity.

The cover sheet and the information contained on it do not replace or supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, the Bankruptcy Rules, or the local rules of court. The cover sheet, which is largely selfexplanatory, must be completed by the plaintiff's attorney (or by the plaintiff if the plaintiff is not represented by an attorney). A separate cover sheet must be submitted to the clerk for each complaint filed.

Plaintiffs and Defendants. Give the names of the plaintiffs and defendants exactly as they appear on the complaint.

Attorneys. Give the names and addresses of the attorneys, if known.

Party. Check the most appropriate box in the first column for the plaintiffs and the second column for the defendants.

Demand. Enter the dollar amount being demanded in the complaint.

Signature. This cover sheet must be signed by the attorney of record in the box on the second page of the form. If the plaintiff is represented by a law firm, a member of the firm must sign. If the plaintiff is pro se, that is, not represented by an attorney, the plaintiff must sign.

Western District of Washington at Seattle

MAY - 9 2018

MARK L. HATCHER, CLERK OF THE BANKRUPTCY COURT

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10			
11	In re:	Case No. 18-11518	
12	VALENTINA CHEREPANOVA CHEREPANOVA BETTER LEARNING	Adversary No.	
13	CHEREI ANOVA BEI TER LEARNING	ridvoisary 110.	
14	Debtor. VALENTINA CHEREPANOVA	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND VIOLATION OF THE AUTOMATIC STAY TITLE 11 §362(a)(1)-(8) AND	
15	CHEREPANOVA BETTER LEARNING	OTHER RELIEF	
16	Plaintiff,		
17	vs.		
18			
19	OUALITY LOAN SERVICE CORPORATION OF		
20	WASHINGTON, SELECT PORTFOLIO SERVICING, INC, KUO CHING YEE, and		
21	JASON WINDOM and John does 1-5 as		
	applies		
22	Defendant.		
23			
24	Comes Now VALENTINA CHEREPANOVA CHEREPANOVA BETTER LEARNING and files the following complaint for damages in violation of the automatic stay.		
25	and mes the following complaint for da	mages in violation of the automatic stay.	
26	I. <u>JURISDICTION AND VENUE</u>		
27	1. This is a Complaint for Injunctive Relief pursuant to Title 11 U.S.C. §105, other relief		
28	pursuant to Title 11 § 362(h) violations of the automatic stay and other breaches of the COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -1		

Deed of Trust Act pursuant to RCW 61.24. et seq.

 This Court has jurisdiction over the adversary proceeding pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. §1334. This is a core proceeding pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. §157. Venue is proper pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. §1409.

#### II. THE PARTIES

# The Plaintiff VALENTINA CHEREPANOVA CHEREPANOVA BETTER LEARNING. ("VCBL")

- 3. The <u>Defendant KUO CHING YEE</u> ("YEE") is a Washington state resident and purports to be the owner of the Plaintiffs Subject Property by way of a foreclosure sale.

  Defendant YEE has willfully violated the automatic stay and has jointly conspired with Quality and all other Defendants to deprive Plaintiffs of equity in Subject Property.
- 4 The <u>Defendant QUALITY LOAN SERVICE CORPORATION ("QUALITY")</u> is located at 108 1<sup>st</sup> ave South Seattle WA 98104 Defendant Quality Loan is the Foreclosure Trustee who has willfully Violated the automatic stay and Breach the Deed of Trust Act pursuant to RCW 61.24...
- 5 The <u>Defendant SELECT PORTFOLIO SERVICES INC.</u> and all other names used to include SPS has willfully violate the Automatic stay
- 4. <u>JASON WINDOM ("JASON")</u> is a Washington State License Realtor who employed by Ben Kinney Real-estate Jason has also Willfully Violated the Automatic Stay.

**COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -2** 

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -3

5. **Defendants** sued herein as John Does 1-5 inclusive and therefore sues these Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs will amend this Complaint to allege John Does true names and capacities when ascertained as well.

#### III. FACTS

- 6. On 4/13/2018 all Defendants participated in a purchase of Plaintiffs Subject Property.
  The Subject Property commonly referred to address is 5310 109<sup>th</sup> St NE, Marysville WA 98271 Washington, 98335. <u>Legal description as follows:/ Section 10 Township 30</u>
  Range 05 Quarter SW LOT 3 SNO CO PFN 03-102663SP REC AFN 200411125328 BEING A
  PTN OF SW1/4 SW1/4 PARCEL# 30051000302500
- 7. On 4/13/2017 at 10:00 a.m. Plaintiffs Subject Property was facing a Trustee Sale. Prior to that sale the Plaintiffs had filed an emergency Chapter (7) bankruptcy petition. A Chapter (7) Trustee was immediately assigned and pursuant to Title 11 §362 the automatic stay took effect Case # 18-11518-MLB file at 9:15 AM. The foreclosure trustee and SPS were all notified prior to sale of the Bankruptcy and also by Fax of the Bankruptcy Filing Pursuant to RCW 61.24.050(2) the Debtor requested Quality and other defendants Void sale as well as case law concerning sale of Properties in Violations of Title 11 Automatic Stay have determine the sale is invalid.AS of today's date all Defendants have taken no affirmative action to correct the unlawful Sale. The Defendants all continue to disregard the automatic stay which gives rise to this Claim.
- 8. The purpose of the Chapter (7) filing was to place the Subject Property into protection and work with the Chapter (7) Trustee to sell and to satisfy creditors. or Apply for a mod to save property. The value of the Subject Property is \$550,000.00, with the rising real estate values it could be more. The Subject Property had over \$200,000.00 in equity for the benefit of creditors. Plaintiffs to include its board would receive some proceeds as well.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

10. All the above stated facts are a willful violation of the automatic stay and all Defendants actions are the core of this Complaint.

#### IV. **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

#### **CAUSE OF ACTION ONE**

11. All Defendants have violated the automatic stay pursuant to Title 11 §362(a)(1)(2)(3).

#### 11 U.S. Code § 362 - Automatic stay

(a) Except as provided in subsection (b) of this section, a petition filed under section 301, 302, or 303 of this title, or an application filed under section 5(a)(3) of the

Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970, operates as a stay, applicable to all entities, of-

- (1)the commencement or continuation, including the issuance or employment of process, of a judicial, administrative, or other action or proceeding against the Plaintiff that was or could have been commenced before the commencement of the case under this title, or to recover a claim against the Plaintiff that arose before the commencement of the case under this title;
- **(2)** the enforcement, against the Plaintiff or against property of the estate, of a judgment obtained before the commencement of the case under this title;
- any act to obtain possession of property of the estate or of property from the estate or to exercise control over property of the estate.

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -4

12. All Defendants proceeded against property of the Plaintiffs estate by executing the Trustee Sale.

11 U.S. Code § 541 - Property of the estate is defined as follows: Property of the estate and the automatic stay are two of the Bankruptcy Code's quintessential concepts. Upon the filing of a bankruptcy petition, the Plaintiff's property becomes part of the bankruptcy estate. The concept of property of the estate under the Code is extremely broad, consisting of all legal or equitable interests of the Plaintiff in property wherever located and by whomever held.

13. Because of all Defendants actions, the Plaintiffs have suffered damages of loss of income and loss of equity over one hundred fifty thousand dollars. Plaintiffs have demonstrated a violation of the automatic stay and are entitled to all damages and costs associated with this violation.

#### **CAUSE OF ACTION TWO**

- 14. All Defendants have willfully violated the automatic stay pursuant to Title 11 U.S.C. §362(h). All Defendants were made aware of Plaintiffs bankruptcy filing on numerous occasions. Title 11 U.S.C. §362(h) allows for punitive damages when a party's actions are intentional, egregious, reckless, and arrogant, here this is especially apparent since the Defendants ignored the bankruptcy filing and proceeded with the Trustee Sale after notice.
- 15. As demonstrated, all Defendants failed to take affirmative action to stop the Trustee Sale.

  Instead Defendants devised a scheme to chill and defer other prospective buyers from the bidding then willfully violated the automatic stay and capturing a bargain price depriving Plaintiffs of any possible surplus.
- 16. Because of all Defendants actions, Plaintiffs have been harmed and are entitled to fees, costs and compensation.

### **CAUSE OF ACTION THREE**

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -5

26

27

28

#### Breach of the Deed of Trust Act RCW 61.24.010(4) Trustee Duty of Good Faith.

17. The Defendant Quality by declaring the Subject Property unavailable for sale, then later contacting a private party to return to sale to purchase at a bargain price caused Plaintiffs loss of equity. Quality stopping and starting the bidding and turning prospective buyers away chilling the bid, resulted in a denial of any extra compensation pursuant to RCW 61.24.080(3). As a result of Quality breach, Plaintiffs are entitled to damages.

### **Injunctive Relief Pursuant to Title 11 §105**

- 18. The Defendants willful violation has deprived Plaintiffs of equity that could pay creditors in the Chapter (7) case. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §105 injunctive relief should be granted as to recordings of sale of Subject Property to another party. The appropriate remedy would be immediate injunctive relief to avoid irreparable harm to creditors and Plaintiffs.
- 19. As a result of all Defendants actions, Plaintiffs have suffered damages.

#### V. RESERVATION

38. Plaintiffs reserve the right to assert and amend this Complaint should discovery and ongoing damages occur to Plaintiffs by Defendants actions.

#### VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray that:

- (A) The Court grant judgment for an injunction to stop any further action against the Plaintiffs and their estate and sale rescinded or Voided;
- (B) That the Plaintiff be awarded all fees and costs;
- (C) That the Plaintiff be awarded punitive damages;
- (D) That the Plaintiff be entitled to economic loss;
- (E) That the Plaintiff be entitled to all attorneys' fees and costs of litigation;

**COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -6** 

(F) Other such relief that the Court deems proper; 1 (G) And Judgment restraining Defendants from further violation of the automatic stay. 2 3 4 5 2018 at Snohomish County, WA 6 7 8 9 VALENTINA CHEREPANOVÁ CHEREPANOVÁ BETTER LEARNING Valentina Cherepanova Better Learning Inc 10 5310 109th St NE 11 Marysville, WA 98271 Tax ID / EIN: 82-5166843 12 dba Val Cher 13 dba Better Learning 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 As of today's, date the chapter 7 trustee has been absent in this case and appears to have 22 abandoned this estate asset, a copy has been sent to her by mail and e-served as well. 23 24 25 26 Dennis Lee Burman 1103 9th St 27 PO Box 1620 Marysville, WA 98270 28 **VCBL** COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES -7